



Inland Empire Waterkeeper

Advocacy • Education • Restoration • Enforcement

6876 Indiana Avenue, Suite D

Riverside, CA 92506

Phone (951) 530-8823

Fax (951) 530-8824

Website www.iewaterkeeper.org

June 3, 2016

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Robertson's Ready Mix
Beaumont Batch Plant
452 W. Luis Estrada
Beaumont, CA 92223

Mervyn Encarnacion, Registered Agent for
Service of Process for Robertson's Ready
Mix, Ltd., a California Limited Partnership
200 S. Main Street, Suite 200
Corona, California 92882-2212

Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd.,
a California Limited Partnership
200 S. Main Street, Suite 200
Corona, California 92882-2212

Re: Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit Under the Clean Water Act

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of Inland Empire Waterkeeper and Orange County Coastkeeper (collectively "Waterkeeper") regarding violations of the Clean Water Act¹ and California's Industrial Storm Water Permit² ("Storm Water Permit") occurring at the industrial facility with its main address at: 452 W. Luis Estrada, Beaumont, California 92223 ("Facility"). The purpose of this letter is to put Beaumont Batch Plant and Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd., a California Limited Partnership, (collectively "Robertson's"), as the owners and/or operators of the Facility, on notice of the violations of the Storm Water Permit occurring at the Facility, including, but not limited to, discharges of polluted storm water from the Facility into local surface waters. Violations of the Storm Water Permit are violations of the Clean Water Act. As explained below, Robertson's is liable for violations of the Storm Water Permit and the Clean Water Act.

Section 505(b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b), requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a), a citizen must give notice of his/her intention to file suit. The Clean Water Act requires that notice must be given to the alleged violator, the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), the Regional Administrator of the EPA, the chief administrative officer of the water pollution control agency for the State in which the violations occur, and, if the alleged violator is a corporation, the registered agent of the corporation. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 135.2(a)(1).

¹ Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 *et seq.*

² National Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No. CAS000001, Water Quality Order No. 92-12-DWQ, Order No. 97-03-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ.

This letter is being sent to you as the responsible owner and operator of the Facility, or as the registered agent for this entity. This notice letter ("Notice Letter") is issued pursuant to 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a) and (b) of the Clean Water Act to inform Robertson's that Waterkeeper intends to file a federal enforcement action against Robertson's for violations of the Storm Water Permit and the Clean Water Act sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice Letter.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Inland Empire Waterkeeper and Orange County Coastkeeper

Inland Empire Waterkeeper's office is located at 6876 Indiana Avenue, Suite D, Riverside, California 92506. Inland Empire Waterkeeper is a program of Orange County Coastkeeper. Orange County Coastkeeper is a non-profit public benefit corporation organized under the laws of the State of California with its office at 3151 Airway Avenue, Suite F-110, Costa Mesa, California 92626. Together, Inland Empire Waterkeeper and Orange County Coastkeeper have over 2,000 members who live and/or recreate in and around the Santa Ana River watershed. Waterkeeper is dedicated to the preservation, protection, and defense of the environment, wildlife, and natural resources of the Inland Empire's watersheds. To further these goals, Waterkeeper actively seeks federal and state agency implementation of the Clean Water Act and other environmental regulations, and, where necessary, directly initiates enforcement actions on behalf of itself and its members.

Members of Waterkeeper use and enjoy the waters that Robertson's discharges into, including the Santa Ana River and its tributaries. Members of Waterkeeper use and enjoy the Santa Ana River and its tributaries to swim, wade, picnic, hike, view wildlife, and engage in scientific study including monitoring activities. The discharge of pollutants and emissions of fugitive dust from the Facility impairs each of these uses. Further, discharges of polluted storm water and fugitive dust emissions from the Facility are ongoing and continuous. Thus, the interests of Waterkeeper's members have been, are being, and will continue to be adversely affected by Robertson's failure to comply with the Clean Water Act and the Storm Water Permit.

B. The Owners and/or Operators of the Facility

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd., is an owner and/or operator of the Facility. Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd. is an active California limited partnership and its registered agent is: Mervyn Encarnacion, 200 S. Main Street, Suite 200, Corona, California 92882. Pursuant to California Corporations Code section 15904.04, Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd.'s general partners are jointly and severally liable for the Clean Water Act violations described herein. Further, to the extent Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd.'s limited partners own and/or operate the Facility together with Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd.

Waterkeeper refers to Beaumont Batch Plant and Robertson's Ready Mix, Ltd. together as the "Facility Owners and/or Operators." The Facility Owners and/or Operators have violated and continue to violate the procedural and substantive terms of the Storm Water Permit including, but not limited to, the illegal discharge of pollutants from the Facility into local

surface waters. As explained herein, the Facility Owners and/or Operators are liable for violations of the Storm Water Permit and the Clean Water Act.

C. The Facility's Storm Water Permit Coverage

Facilities that discharge storm water associated with specified industrial activities are required to apply for coverage under the Storm Water Permit by submitting a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board") to obtain Storm Water Permit coverage. *See* Storm Water Permit, Finding ¶¶ 12, 17.

Robertson's submitted an NOI to obtain Storm Water Permit coverage on December 18, 1997. The NOI submitted in December 1997 ("1997 NOI") identifies the owner/operator of the Facility as "Robertsons Ready Mix" and the Facility name and location as "Beaumont Batch Plant #7, 452 Fifth Place, Beaumont, California 92223." The 1997 NOI lists the Facility as 81,000 Sq. Ft. in size and 10% impervious. The 1997 NOI lists the Waste Discharge Identification ("WDID") number for the Facility as 8 33S010452.

On September 29, 2015, Robertson's submitted an NOI to continue the Facility's coverage under the Permit ("2015 NOI"). The 2015 NOI identifies the owner/operator of the Facility as "Robertsons Ready Mix" and the Facility name and location as "Robertsons Beaumont Plant, 452 W. 5th Luis Estrada Road, Beaumont, CA 92223." The 2015 NOI lists the Facility as "81,000 Sq. Feet." (approximately 1.9 acres), the industrial area exposed to storm water is listed as "2200 Sq.Feet", and the percentage of imperviousness as 95%.³ The 2015 NOI lists the WDID number for the Facility as 8 33I013718.

The Facility Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"),⁴ states that the "Beaumont Batch Plant consists of approximately 0.9 acres . . . adjacent to an additional 1.1 acres used for storage" and that the site is approximately 70% pervious and is considered as 1 drainage area, and labeled "Drainage Area 1 (DA1)." To the extent the Facility Owners and/or Operators have or intend to limit the Storm Water Permit coverage at the Facility to the 0.9 acres making up the batch plant area, Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that they have not complied, and cannot comply, with Section II.B.1. and so limit the scope of the Facility. As explained in the Permit Fact Sheet, storage facilities associated with and adjacent to a facility defined under a specific SIC code, such as the batch plant at issue here, are subject to Permit coverage. *See* Permit, Fact Sheet at pp. 9-10. Further, to the extent the Facility Owners and/or Operators failed to obtain Permit coverage for all areas of industrial activity at the

³ To the extent the Facility Owners and/or Operators have or intend to limit the Storm Water Permit coverage at the Facility based on the asserted acreage "exposed to storm water," Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that they have not complied, and cannot comply, with Section XVII.E.1. of the Storm Water Permit and the required "no exposure" certification. Further, to the extent the Facility Owners and/or Operators failed to obtain Permit coverage for all areas of industrial activity at the Facility, storm water discharges associated with industrial activities from unpermitted portions of the Facility violate section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act.

⁴ The Facility SWPPP publicly available via the SMARTS database is labeled "March 2015" and is signed by the Facility's "legally responsible person" on "9-30". Waterkeeper also obtained the March 2015 SWPPP via a Public Records Act request. Waterkeeper understands that the March 2015 SWPPP is the current SWPPP for the Facility.

Facility, storm water discharges associated with industrial activities from unpermitted portions of the Facility violate section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act.

The 1997 and 2015 NOIs list the Standard Industrial Classification (“SIC”) code for the Facility as 3273 (Ready-Mixed Concrete). SIC code 3273 facilities must obtain Storm Water Permit coverage for the entire facility. *See* Storm Water Permit, Attachment A, ¶ 2. Information available to Waterkeeper, including the Facility’s SWPPP, indicates there is vehicle and equipment maintenance and storage at the Facility resulting in the SIC code 4212 (local trucking without storage) also applying to the Facility.

D. Storm Water Pollution and the Waters Receiving Robertson’s Discharges

With every significant rainfall event, millions of gallons of polluted storm water originating from industrial operations such as the Facility pour into storm drains and local waterways. The consensus among agencies and water quality specialists is that storm water pollution accounts for more than half of the total pollution entering surface waters each year. Such discharges of pollutants from industrial facilities contribute to the impairment of downstream waters and aquatic dependent wildlife. These contaminated discharges can and must be controlled for the ecosystem to regain its health.

Based on EPA’s Industrial Stormwater Fact Sheet for Glass, Clay, Cement, Concrete, and Gypsum Product Manufacturing Facilities, polluted discharges from concrete mixing facilities such as the Facility contain pH affecting substances; metals, such as iron and aluminum; toxic metals, such as lead, zinc, cadmium, chromium, and arsenic; chemical oxygen demand (“COD”); biochemical oxygen demand (“BOD”); total suspended solids (“TSS”); benzene; gasoline and diesel fuels; fuel additives; coolants; and oil and grease (“O&G”). Many of these pollutants are on the list of chemicals published by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or developmental or reproductive harm.

The Facility discharges into municipal storm drain system which then discharges to the San Timoteo Creek (Reach 3, Reach 2, Reach 1B, then Reach 1A), then to the Santa Ana River (Reach 5, Reach 4, Reach 3, Reach 2, then Reach 1), then to the Tidal Prism – Santa Ana River, and then to the Pacific Ocean (“Receiving Waters”).

Although pollution and habitat destruction have drastically diminished once-abundant and varied fisheries, these waters are still essential habitat for dozens of fish and bird species as well as macro-invertebrate and invertebrate species. Storm water and non-storm water contaminated with sediment, heavy metals, and other pollutants harm the special aesthetic and recreational significance that the Receiving Waters have for people in the surrounding communities. The public’s use of local waterways exposes many people to toxic metals and other contaminants in storm water discharges. Non-contact recreational and aesthetic opportunities, such as wildlife observation, are also impaired by polluted discharges to the Receiving Waters.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region Regional Board ("Regional Board") issued the *Santa Ana River Basin Water Quality Control Plan* ("Basin Plan"). The Basin Plan identifies the "Beneficial Uses" of water bodies in the region. The Beneficial Uses for the Receiving Waters downstream of the Facility include: Water Contact Recreation; Non-contact Water Recreation, Agricultural, Municipal, Groundwater Recharge, Warm Freshwater Habitat; Wildlife Habitat; and Rare, Threatened or Endangered Species. See Basin Plan at Table 3-1.

According to the 2012 303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies, the Santa Ana River Reach 2 is impaired for Indicator Bacteria; Reach 3 is impaired for Copper, Lead and pathogens; and Reach 4 is impaired for pathogens.

Polluted discharges from industrial sites, such as the Facility, contribute to the degradation of these already impaired surface waters and aquatic-dependent wildlife that depends on these waters.

II. THE FACILITY AND ASSOCIATED DISCHARGES OF POLLUTANTS

A. The Facility Site Description and Industrial Activities

The Facility consists of approximately 2 acres including an active 0.9 acres concrete batch plant and an adjacent additional 1.1 acres used for storage. Raw materials, including aggregate (rock, sand, and gravel), cement,⁵ fly ash, and admixtures are delivered to the Facility, and are mixed with water to create concrete. These materials, water, and (if applicable) admixtures are added to concrete haul trucks that mix the ingredients together to produce concrete and haul the concrete off site. As part of the concrete production process, unused concrete is returned to the Facility, stored onsite, and recycled. The concrete production process also includes onsite vehicle and mobile equipment operation, parking, fueling, and maintenance.

Accordingly, the Facility's industrial activities include, but are not limited to: concrete mixing; transport of raw materials; unloading of raw materials; outdoor storage of raw materials, including sand, gravel, rock, chemical admixtures, fly ash, cement, and recycled concrete; fueling, repairing, cleaning, and maintaining vehicles and equipment; storage of fuels and hazardous materials, such as diesel fuel, lubricating fluids, new vehicle fluids, and hazardous waste vehicle fluids; washing concrete mixer trucks; and vehicle and equipment parking, fueling, and maintenance.

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that up to 2500 tons of aggregate, 70 tons of fly ash, and up to 6100 gallons of admixtures, may be in process or storage at the Facility at any one time. Additionally, up to 10,220 gallons of fuels, oils, and greases may be stored at the

⁵ Based on Waterkeeper's review of the Facility SWPPP, cement is stored in "cement storage silos" in the concrete batch plant area of the Facility, and that cement is received in this area. To the extent cement is stored outdoors, storm water discharges from the Facility may be subject to additional effluent limitations set out at 40 C.F.R. § 411.30. Waterkeeper will add additional information and/or violations relevant to the Facility Owners and/or Operators' storage and handling of cement as that information becomes available to Waterkeeper.

Facility at any one time.

B. Pollutants Associated with Robertson's Industrial Activities

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that pollutants associated with operations at the Facility include, but are not limited to: pH-affecting substances⁶; metals, such as iron and aluminum; toxic metals, such as lead, zinc, cadmium, chromium, copper, and arsenic; COD; BOD; TSS⁷; benzene; gasoline and diesel fuels; fuel additives; coolants; trash; and O&G.

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates Robertson's has not properly developed and/or implemented the required best management practices ("BMPs") to address pollutant sources and contaminated discharges. BMPs are necessary at the Facility to prevent the exposure of pollutants to precipitation and the subsequent discharge of polluted storm water from the Facility during rain events. Consequently, during rain events, storm water carries pollutants from the Facility's stockpile or material storage area(s), truck parking area(s), fueling and maintenance area(s), add-mix area(s), batch plant area(s), washing area(s), and other areas into the storm sewer system, which flows into the Receiving Waters, in violation of the Storm Water Permit.

Information available to Waterkeeper also indicates that concrete, particulates, and fugitive dust of sand, gravel, and cement have been and continue to be tracked throughout the Facility. These pollutants accumulate at the sand and gravel storage areas and near the silos, the loading and unloading areas, and the driveways leading onto Luis Estrada Road. As a result, trucks and vehicles leaving the Facility via the driveways are pollutant sources tracking sediment, dirt, O&G, metal particles, and other pollutants off-site.

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that raw materials are stored outside and weighing and mixing activities occur outside without adequate cover or containment resulting in discharges of polluted storm water and fugitive dust emissions. Additionally, metal parts and hazardous materials associated with maintenance, fueling, and washing of the concrete trucks occur outside without secondary containment or other measures to prevent polluted storm water and prohibited non-storm water discharges from discharging from the Facility. These activities are all significant pollutant sources at the Facility.

⁶ Storm water discharged with high pH can damage the gills and skin of aquatic organisms and cause death at levels above 10 standard units. The pH scale is logarithmic and the solubility of a substance varies as a function of the pH of a solution. One whole unit change in SU represents a tenfold increase or decrease in ion concentration. If the pH of water is too high or too low, the aquatic organisms living within it will become stressed or die.

⁷ High concentrations of TSS degrade optical water quality by reducing water clarity and decreasing light available to support photosynthesis. TSS has been shown to alter predator prey relationships (for example, turbid water may make it difficult for fish to hunt prey). Deposited solids alter fish habitat, aquatic plants, and benthic organisms. TSS can also be harmful to aquatic life because numerous pollutants, including metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, are absorbed onto TSS. Thus, higher concentrations of TSS results in higher concentrations of toxins associated with those sediments. Inorganic sediments, including settleable matter and suspended solids, have been shown to negatively impact species richness, diversity, and total biomass of filter feeding aquatic organisms on bottom surfaces.

Robertson's failure to develop and/or implement required BMPs also results in prohibited discharges of non-storm water in violation of the Storm Water Permit and the Clean Water Act. Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that Robertson's discharges process waters from equipment washing and other activities as part of its industrial operations.

C. Facility Storm Water Flows and Discharge Location

The Facility SWPPP states the site is approximately 70% pervious and is considered one (1) drainage area, labeled "Drainage Area (DA1)." The Facility Owners and/or Operators identify one (1) discharge point, Outfall 1 ("OF1"). OF1 is located at the northwest driveway of the Facility leading to Luis Estrada Road, and that samples will be collected from OF1. While the Facility Owners and/or Operators included the storage area on the Facility site map, it is unclear whether discharges from that area discharge from OF1.

The Facility SWPPP states that DA1 includes the entire site. The Facility SWPPP states that storm water on the site flows to the sump basin at the central portion of the site, then the discharges from the basin flow to the northwest driveway, which is OF1. The Facility SWPPP also indicates that process water from the truck wash out is also collected in the sump basin such that non-storm water and storm water is commingled.

Information available to Waterkeeper also indicates that there is at least one (1) additional discharge point at the Facility located at the driveway entrance to the Facility from Luis Estrada Road at the southern end of the Facility.

III. VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND THE STORM WATER PERMIT

In California, any person who discharges storm water associated with industrial activity must comply with the terms of the Storm Water Permit in order to lawfully discharge pollutants. *See* 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1342; 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(c)(1); *see also* Storm Water Permit, Fact Sheet at VII.

Between 1997 and June 30, 2015, the Storm Water Permit in effect was Order No. 97-03-DWQ, which Waterkeeper refers to as the "1997 Permit." On July 1, 2015, pursuant to Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ the Storm Water Permit was reissued. For purposes of this Notice Letter, Waterkeeper refers to the reissued permit as the "2015 Permit." The 2015 Permit superseded the 1997 Permit, except for enforcement purposes, and its terms are as stringent, or more stringent, than the terms of the 1997 Permit. *See* 2015 Permit, Findings, ¶ 6. Accordingly, Robertson's is liable for violations of the 1997 Permit and ongoing violations of the 2015 Permit, and civil penalties and injunctive relief are available remedies. *See Illinois v. Outboard Marine, Inc.*, 680 F.2d 473, 480-81 (7th Cir. 1982) (relief granted for violations of an expired permit); *Sierra Club v. Aluminum Co. of Am.*, 585 F. Supp. 842, 853-54 (N.D.N.Y. 1984) (holding that the Clean Water Act's legislative intent and public policy favor allowing penalties for violations of an expired permit); *Pub. Interest Research Group of N.J. v. Carter-Wallace, Inc.*, 684 F. Supp. 115,

121-22 (D.N.J. 1988) (“Limitations of an expired permit, when those limitations have been transferred unchanged to the newly issued permit, may be viewed as currently in effect”).

The Clean Water Act requires that any person discharging pollutants to a water of the United States from a point source⁸ obtain coverage under an NPDES permit. *See* 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1342; 40 CFR § 122.26(c)(1). The Storm Water Permit is an NPDES permit which regulates storm water discharges associated with certain industrial activities. The Robertson’s Owners and/or Operators discharge pollutants from point sources at the Facility to waters of the United States without NPDES permit coverage in violation of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act.

In California, industrial dischargers not covered under an individual NPDES permit must comply with the terms of the Storm Water Permit to lawfully discharge storm water associated with industrial activity. *See id.*; *see also* 1997 Permit, Fact Sheet p. VII; 2015 Permit, Fact Sheet, p. 9. Industrial activities conducted at the Facility fall under SIC code 3273, which require Robertson’s obtain Storm Water Permit coverage for the entire Facility.

A. Unauthorized Non-Storm Water Discharges from the Facility in Violation of Storm Water Permit Discharge Prohibitions

Except as authorized by Special Conditions D(1) of the 1997 Permit, Discharge Prohibition A(1) prohibits permittees from discharging materials other than storm water (non-storm water discharges) either directly or indirectly to waters of the United States. The 2015 Permit includes the same discharge prohibition. *See* 2015 Permit, Discharge Prohibition III.B. Prohibited non-storm water discharges must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit. *See* Storm Water Permit, Discharge Prohibition A(1); *see also* 2015 Permit, Discharge Prohibition III.B.

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that unauthorized non-storm water discharges occur at the Facility due to inadequate BMP development and/or implementation necessary to prevent these discharges. For example, unauthorized non-storm water discharges from the Facility during concrete and water truck filling, road watering, and/or when truck washing and cleaning activities occur either by directly discharging from the Facility or by being commingled with storm water discharges. The Facility Owners and/or Operators conduct these activities without BMPs to prevent resulting non-storm water discharges. Non-storm water discharges resulting from these activities are not from sources that are listed among the authorized non-storm water discharges in the Storm Water Permit and thus are always prohibited.

Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that the Storm Water Permit Discharge Prohibitions are violated each time unauthorized non-storm water is discharged

⁸ A point source is defined as any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14); *see* 40 C.F.R. § 122.2

from the Facility. *See* 1997 Permit, Discharge Prohibition A(1); *see also* 2015 Permit, Discharge Prohibition III.B. These discharge violations are ongoing and will continue until the Facility Owners and/or Operators develop and implement BMPs that prevent prohibited non-storm water discharges or obtain separate NPDES permit coverage. Each time the Facility Owners and/or Operators discharge prohibited non-storm water in violation of Discharge Prohibition A(1) of the 1997 Permit and Discharge Prohibition III.B. of the 2015 Permit is a separate and distinct violation of the Storm Water Permit and section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Waterkeeper will update the number and dates of violations when additional information becomes available. Facility Owners and/or Operators are subject to civil penalties for all violations of the Clean Water Act occurring since June 3, 2011.

B. Discharges of Polluted Storm Water from the Facility in Violation of Storm Water Permit Effluent Limitations

Effluent Limitation B(3) of the 1997 Permit requires dischargers to reduce or prevent pollutants associated with industrial activity in storm water discharges through implementation of BMPs that achieve Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (“BAT”) for toxic⁹ and non-conventional pollutants and Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology (“BCT”) for conventional pollutants.¹⁰ The 2015 Permit includes the same effluent limitation. *See* 2015 Permit, Effluent Limitation V.A.

Information available to Waterkeeper, including its review of publicly available information and observations, indicates that the Facility Owners and/or Operators have not implemented BMPs at the Facility that achieve BAT/BCT. While the Facility Owners and/or Operators have not collected any storm water samples, storm water associated with industrial activities regulated by the permit does discharge from the Facility, and given the inadequate BMPs at the Facility, those discharges violate the permit effluent limitations.

Waterkeeper will provide additional information regarding this violation as it becomes available, including evaluation of storm water data (once available) as compared to storm water benchmark levels set by the Environmental Protection Agency. Such EPA Benchmarks are relevant and objective standards for evaluating whether a permittee’s BMPs achieve compliance with BAT/BCT standards as required by Effluent Limitation B(3) of the 1997 Permit and Effluent Limitation V.A. of the 2015 Permit.¹¹

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that the Facility Owners and/or Operators have failed and continue to fail to develop and/or implement BMPs at the Facility as required to

⁹ Toxic pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.15 and include copper, arsenic, lead, benzene, and zinc, among others.

¹⁰ Conventional pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.16 and include biochemical oxygen demand, TSS, oil and grease, pH, and fecal coliform.

¹¹ *See United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (MSGP) Authorization to Discharge Under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System*, as modified effective February 26, 2009 (“Multi-Sector Permit”), Fact Sheet at 106; *see also*, 65 Federal Register 64839 (2000).

achieve compliance with the BAT/BCT standards. Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that because of the lack of BMPs that meet BAT/BCT standards, the Storm Water Permit Effluent Limitations are violated each time storm water discharges from the Facility. *See, e.g.*, Exhibit 1 (setting forth dates of rain events resulting in a discharge at the Facility).¹² These discharge violations are ongoing and will continue every time Robertson's discharges polluted storm water without developing and/or implementing BMPs that achieve compliance with the BAT/BCT standards. Each time Robertson's discharges polluted storm water in violation of Effluent Limitation B(3) of the 1997 Permit and Effluent Limitation V.A. of the 2015 Permit is a separate and distinct violation of the Storm Water Permit and Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). The Facility Owners and/or Operators are subject to civil penalties for all violations of the Clean Water Act occurring since June 3, 2011.

Further, Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that 2015 Permit Effluent Limitation V.A. is a separate, independent requirement with which Robertson's must comply, and that carrying out the iterative process triggered by exceedances of the Numeric Action Levels ("NALs") listed at Table 2 of the 2015 Permit does not amount to compliance with Effluent Limitation V.A. The NALs do not represent technology based criteria relevant to determining whether an industrial facility has implemented BMPs that achieve BAT/BCT.¹³ And even if the Facility Owners and/or Operators submit any Exceedance Response Action Plan(s) pursuant to Section XII. of the 2015 Permit, the violations of Effluent Limitation V.A. described in this Notice Letter are ongoing.

C. Discharges of Polluted Storm Water from the Facility in Violation of Storm Water Permit Receiving Water Limitations

Receiving Water Limitation C(2) of the 1997 Permit prohibits storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges that cause or contribute to an exceedance of an applicable Water Quality Standard ("WQS").¹⁴ The 2015 Permit includes the same receiving water limitation. *See* 2015 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.A. Discharges that contain pollutants in excess of an applicable WQS violate the Storm Water Permit Receiving Water

¹² Dates of significant rain events are measured at Rain Station KRAL, located at the Riverside Municipal Airport in Riverside, California, and at Rain Station KRIV, located at March Air Reserve Base. A significant rain event is defined by EPA as a rainfall event generating 0.1 inches or more of rainfall, which generally results in discharges at a typical industrial facility.

¹³ "The NALs are not intended to serve as technology-based or water quality-based numeric effluent limitations. The NALs are not derived directly from either BAT/BCT requirements or receiving water objectives. NAL exceedances defined in [the 2015] Permit are not, in and of themselves, violations of [the 2015] Permit." 2015 Permit, Finding 63, p. 11. The NALs do, however, trigger reporting requirements. *See* 2015 Permit, Section XII.

¹⁴ The Basin Plan designates Beneficial Uses for the Receiving Waters. Water quality standards are pollutant concentration levels determined by the state or federal agencies to be protective of designated Beneficial Uses. Discharges above water quality standards contribute to impairment of Receiving Waters' Beneficial Uses. Applicable water quality standards include, among others, the Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants in the State of California, 40 C.F.R. § 131.38 ("CTR"), and water quality objectives in the Basin Plan. Industrial storm water discharges must strictly comply with water quality standards, including those criteria listed in the applicable basin plan. *See Defenders of Wildlife v. Browner*, 191 F.3d 1159, 1166-67 (9th Cir. 1999).

Limitations. *See* 1997 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation C(2); 2015 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.A.

Receiving Water Limitation C(1) of the 1997 Permit prohibits storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges to surface water that adversely impact human health or the environment. The 2015 Permit includes the same receiving water limitation. *See* 2015 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.B. Discharges that contain pollutants in concentrations that exceed levels known to adversely impact aquatic species and the environment constitute violations of the Storm Water Permit Receiving Water Limitations. *See* 1997 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation C(1); 2015 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.B.

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that while the Facility Owners and/or Operators have not collected any storm water samples, storm water associated with industrial activities regulated by the Storm Water Permit does discharge from the Facility, and given the inadequate BMPs at the Facility, those discharges violate the receiving water limitations.

As explained herein, the Receiving Waters are impaired for some of the same pollutants discharging from the Facility and thus unable to support the designated beneficial uses. The 2012 303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies lists the Receiving Waters as impaired for pathogens, Copper, Lead, and Indicator Bacteria. Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that facilities of this type often discharge storm water which contains elevated concentrations of pollutants, such as aluminum, iron, copper, lead, and pH, which can be acutely toxic and/or have sub-lethal impacts on the avian and aquatic wildlife in the Receiving Waters. Discharges of elevated concentrations of pollutants in the storm water from this type of facility also adversely impact human health. Waterkeeper will provide additional information regarding this violation as it becomes available, including an evaluation of storm water data (once available) as computed to CTR criteria and Basin Plan objectives. These types of harmful discharges are violations of the Storm Water Permit Receiving Water Limitations. *See* 1997 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation C(1) and C(2); 2015 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.A and VI.B.

Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that Storm Water Permit Receiving Water Limitations are violated each time polluted storm water discharges from the Facility *See, e.g.,* Exhibit 1 (setting forth dates of rain events resulting in a discharge at the Facility). These discharge violations are ongoing and will continue every time contaminated storm water is discharged in violation of the Storm Water Permit Receiving Water Limitations. Each time discharges of storm water from the Facility cause or contribute to a violation of an applicable WQS is a separate and distinct violation of Receiving Water Limitation C(2) of the 1997 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.A. of the 2015 Permit VI.A, and Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Each time discharges from the Facility adversely impact human health or the environment is a separate and distinct violation of Receiving Water Limitation C(1) of the 1997 Permit, Receiving Water Limitation VI.B. of the 2015 Permit, and Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Waterkeeper will update the dates of violation when additional information and data becomes available. The Facility Owners and/or Operators are subject to civil penalties for all violations of the Clean Water Act occurring since June 3, 2011.

Further, Waterkeeper puts the Facility Owners and/or Operators on notice that 2015 Permit Receiving Water Limitations are separate, independent requirements with which Robertson's must comply, and that carrying out the iterative process triggered by exceedances of the NALs listed at Table 2 of the 2015 Permit does not amount to compliance with the Receiving Water Limitations. The NALs do not represent water quality based criteria relevant to determine whether an industrial facility has caused or contributed to an exceedance of a water quality standard.¹⁵ And even if the Facility Owners and/or Operators submit any Exceedance Response Action Plan(s) pursuant to Section XII. of the 2015 Permit, the violations of the Receiving Water Limitations described in this Notice Letter are ongoing.

D. Failure to Develop, Implement, and/or Revise an Adequate Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

The Storm Water Permit requires permittees to develop and implement Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans prior to conducting, and in order to continue, industrial activities. The specific SWPPP requirements of the 1997 Permit and the 2015 Permit are set out below.

1. 1997 SWPPP Requirements

Section A(1) and Provision E(2) of the 1997 Permit require dischargers to have developed and implemented a SWPPP by October 1, 1992, or prior to beginning industrial activities, that meets all of the requirements of the Storm Water Permit. The objectives of the 1997 Permit SWPPP requirement are to identify and evaluate sources of pollutants associated with industrial activities that may affect the quality of storm water discharges from the Facility, and to implement site-specific BMPs to reduce or prevent pollutants associated with industrial activities in storm water discharges. *See* 1997 Permit, Section A(2). These BMPs must achieve compliance with the Storm Water Permit's Effluent Limitations and Receiving Water Limitations.

To ensure compliance with the Storm Water Permit, the SWPPP must be evaluated on an annual basis pursuant to the requirements of Section A(9) of the 1997 Permit, and must be revised as necessary to ensure compliance with the Storm Water Permit. 1997 Permit, Sections A(9) and (10). Sections A(3) – A(10) of the 1997 Permit set forth the requirements for a SWPPP. Among other requirements, the SWPPP must include: a site map showing the facility boundaries, storm water drainage areas with flow patterns, nearby water bodies, the location of the storm water collection, conveyance and discharge system, structural control measures, areas of actual and potential pollutant contact, areas of industrial activity, and other features of the facility and its industrial activities (*see* 1997 Permit, Section A(4)); a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site (*see* 1997 Permit, Section A(5)); a description of potential pollutant sources,

¹⁵ "The NALs are not intended to serve as technology-based or water quality-based numeric effluent limitations. The NALs are not derived directly from either BAT/BCT requirements or receiving water objectives. NAL exceedances defined in [the 2015] Permit are not, in and of themselves, violations of [the 2015] Permit." 2015 Permit, Finding 63, p. 11. The NALs do, however, trigger reporting requirements. *See* 2015 Permit, Section XII.

including industrial processes, material handling and storage areas, dust and particulate generating activities, significant spills and leaks, non-storm water discharges and their sources, and locations where soil erosion may occur (*see* 1997 Permit, Section A(6)).

Sections A(7) and A(8) of the 1997 Permit require an assessment of potential pollutant sources at the facility and a description of the BMPs to be implemented at the facility that will reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges, including structural BMPs where non-structural BMPs are not effective.

2. 2015 SWPPP Requirements

As with the SWPPP requirements of the 1997 Permit, Sections X(A) - (H) of the 2015 Permit require dischargers to have developed and implemented a SWPPP that meets all of the requirements of the 2015 Permit. *See also* 2015 Permit, Appendix 1. The objective of the SWPPP requirements are still to identify and evaluate sources of pollutants associated with industrial activities that may affect the quality of storm water discharges, and to implement site-specific BMPs to reduce or prevent pollutants associated with industrial activities in storm water discharges. *See* 2015 Permit, Section X(C).

The SWPPP must include, among other things and consistent with the 1997 Permit, a narrative description and summary of all industrial activity, potential sources of pollutants, and potential pollutants; a site map indicating the storm water conveyance system, associated points of discharge, direction of flow, areas of actual and potential pollutant contact, including the extent of pollution-generating activities, nearby water bodies, and pollutants control measures; a description of the BMPs developed and implemented to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges necessary to comply with the Storm Water Permit; the identification and elimination of non-storm water discharges; the location where significant materials are being shipped, stored, received, and handled, as well as the typical quantities of such materials and the frequency with which they are handled; a description of dust and particulate-generating activities, and; the identification of individuals and their current responsibilities for developing and implementing the SWPPP. 2015 Permit, Section X(A)-(H).

Further, the 2015 Permit requires the discharger to evaluate the SWPPP on an annual basis and revise it as necessary to ensure compliance with the Storm Water Permit. 2015 Permit, Section X(A)-(B). Like the 1997 Permit, the 2015 Permit also requires that the discharger conduct an annual comprehensive site compliance evaluation that includes a review of all visual observation records, inspection reports and sampling and analysis results, a visual inspection of all potential pollutant sources for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system, a review and evaluation of all BMPs to determine whether the BMPs are adequate, properly implemented and maintained, or whether additional BMPs are needed, and a visual inspection of equipment needed to implement the SWPPP. 2015 Permit, Section X(B) and Section XV.

3. The Facility Owners and/or Operators Have Violated and Continue to Violate the Storm Water Permit SWPPP Requirements

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that the Facility Owners and/or Operators have been and continue to conduct operations at the Facility with an inadequately developed and/or implemented SWPPP. For example, in violation of Section A(4) of the 1997 Permit and Section X(E)(3) of the 2015 Permit, there is no site map attached to the SWPPP. To the extent the site map uploaded to SMARTS could be the SWPPP site map, it fails to identify all areas of industrial activity, areas of actual and potential pollutant contact, including the extent of pollution-generating activities, and nearby water bodies.

The Facility SWPPP and site map also fail to indicate all industrial activities and accompanying BMPs that occur on the 1.1-acre storage area adjacent to the batch plant.

Further, the narrative portions of the SWPPP fail to include all sources of unauthorized non-storm water discharges in violation of Section A(6) of the 1997 Permit and Section X(G)(1)(e) of the 2015 Permit. The SWPPP also fails to include an adequate assessment of potential pollutant sources or BMPs that achieve the BAT/BCT standards, as required by Section A(6) of the 1997 Permit and Sections X(G) and X(H) of the 2015 Permit.

To the extent there are areas of the Facility where industrial activities, in fact, do not occur, the Facility Owners and/or Operators have failed to comply with the certification requirements set out at Section XVII(E)(1) of the 2015 Permit that would allow Robertson's to exclude certain areas from its storm water management program. Nor have the Facility Owners and/or Operators revised the Facility SWPPP, as required by Section A(7) of the 1997 Permit and Section X(D)(2)(a) of the 2015 Permit.

The Facility Owners and/or Operators have failed and continue to fail to adequately develop, implement, and/or revise the SWPPP, in violation of SWPPP requirements of the Storm Water Permit. Every day the Facility operates with an inadequately developed, implemented, and/or properly revised SWPPP is a separate and distinct violation of the Storm Water Permit and the Clean Water Act. The Facility Owners and/or Operators have been in daily and continuous violation of the Storm Water Permit's SWPPP requirements since at least June 3, 2011. These violations are ongoing, and Waterkeeper will include additional violations when information becomes available. The Facility Owners and/or Operators are subject to civil penalties for all violations of the Clean Water Act occurring since June 3, 2011.

E. Failure to Develop, Implement, and/or Revise an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program

The Storm Water Permit requires permittees to develop and implement storm water monitoring and reporting programs ("M&RPs") prior to conducting, and in order to continue, industrial activities. The specific M&RP requirements of the 1997 Permit and the 2015 Permit are set out below.

1. 1997 Permit Requirements

Section B(1) and Provision E(3) of the 1997 Permit require facility operators to develop and implement an adequate M&RP by October 1, 1992, or prior to the commencement of industrial activities at a facility, that meets all of the requirements of the Storm Water Permit. The primary objective of the M&RP is to detect and measure the concentrations of pollutants in a facility's discharge to ensure compliance with the Storm Water Permit's Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations. *See* 1997 Permit, Section B(2).

The M&RP must therefore ensure that BMPs are effectively reducing and/or eliminating pollutants at the facility, and must be evaluated and revised whenever appropriate to ensure compliance with the Storm Water Permit. *Id.* Sections B(3) – B(16) of the 1997 Permit set forth the M&RP requirements. Specifically, Section B(3) requires dischargers to conduct quarterly visual observations of all drainage areas within their facility for the presence of authorized and unauthorized non-storm water discharges. Section B(4) requires dischargers to conduct visual observations of storm water discharges from one storm event per month during the Wet Season. Sections B(3) and B(4) further require dischargers to document the presence of any floating or suspended material, oil and grease, discolorations, turbidity, odor, and the source of any pollutants. Dischargers must maintain records of observations, observation dates, locations observed, and responses taken to eliminate unauthorized non-storm water discharges and to reduce or prevent pollutants from contacting non-storm water and storm water discharges. *See* 1997 Permit, Sections B(3) and B(4). Dischargers must revise the SWPPP in response to these observations to ensure that BMPs are effectively reducing and/or eliminating pollutants at the facility. *Id.*, Section B(4). Sections B(5) and B(7) of the 1997 Permit require dischargers to visually observe and collect samples of storm water from all locations where storm water is discharged.

The Facility was and/or is a member of the Building Materials Industry Group Monitoring Program, and thus the Facility Owners and/or Operators must comply with the group monitoring provisions set forth in Section B(15) of the 1997 Permit. Under Section B(15) of the 1997 Permit, the Facility Owners and/or Operators must collect at least two (2) samples from each discharge point at the Facility over a five (5) year period. *See* 1997 Permit, Sections B(5), B(7), and B(15). Storm water samples must be analyzed for TSS, pH, specific conductance ("SC"), total organic carbon or O&G, and other pollutants that are likely to be present in the facility's discharges in significant quantities, such as aluminum and nitrate plus nitrite. *See* Storm Water Permit, Section B(5)(c). The 1997 Permit requires facilities classified as SIC code 3273, such as the Facility, to also analyze storm water samples for iron. *Id.*; *see also* 1997 Permit, Table D, Sector E.

Section B(7)(d) of the 1997 Permit allows for the reduction of sampling locations in very limited circumstances when "industrial activities and BMPs within two or more drainage areas are substantially identical." If a discharger seeks to reduce sampling locations, the "[f]acility operators must document such a determination in the annual report." *Id.*

2. 2015 Permit Requirements

As with the 1997 M&RP requirements, Sections X(I) and XI(A)-XI(D) of the 2015 Permit require facility operators to develop and implement an adequate M&RP that meets all of the requirements of the 2015 Permit. The objective of the M&RP is still to detect and measure the concentrations of pollutants in a facility's discharge, and to ensure compliance with the 2015 Permit's Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations. *See* 2015 Permit, Section XI. An adequate M&RP ensures that BMPs are effectively reducing and/or eliminating pollutants at the facility, and is evaluated and revised whenever appropriate to ensure compliance with the Storm Water Permit. *See id.*

As an *increase* in observation frequency to the 1997 Permit, Section XI(A) of the 2015 Permit requires all visual observations at least once each month, and at the same time sampling occurs at a discharge location. Observations must document the presence of any floating and suspended material, O&G, discolorations, turbidity, odor and the source of any pollutants. 2015 Permit, Section XI(A)(2). Dischargers must document and maintain records of observations, observation dates, locations observed, and responses taken to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges. 2015 Permit, Section XI(A)(3).

Section XI(B)(1-5) of the 2015 Permit requires permittees to collect storm water discharge samples from a qualifying storm event¹⁶ as follows: 1) from each discharge location, 2) from two storm events within the first half of each reporting year¹⁷ (July 1 to December 31), 3) from two storm events within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30), and 4) within four hours of the start of a discharge, or the start of facility operations if the qualifying storm event occurs within the previous 12-hour period. Section XI(B)(11) of the 2015 Permit, among other requirements, provides that permittees must submit all sampling and analytical results for all samples via SMARTS within 30 days of obtaining all results for each sampling event.

The parameters to be analyzed are also consistent with the 1997 Permit, except the 2015 Permit no longer requires SC be sampled. Specifically, Section XI(B)(6)(a)-(b) of the 2015 Permit requires permittees to analyze samples for TSS, oil & grease, and pH. Section XI(B)(6)(c) of the 2015 Permit requires permittees to analyze samples for pollutants associated with industrial operations. Section XI(B)(6) of the 2015 Permit also requires dischargers to analyze storm water samples for additional applicable industrial parameters related to receiving waters with 303(d) listed impairments, or approved Total Maximum Daily Loads.

¹⁶ The 2015 Permit defines a qualifying storm event as one that produces a discharge for at least one drainage area, and is preceded by 48-hours with no discharge from any drainage areas. 2015 Permit, Section XI(B)(1).

¹⁷ A reporting year is defined as July 1 through June 30. 2015 Permit, Findings, ¶ 62(b).

3. The Facility Owners and/or Operators Have Violated and Continue to Violate the Storm Water Permit M&RP Requirements

The Facility Owners and/or Operators have been and continue to conduct operations at the Facility with an inadequately developed, implemented, and/or revised M&RP. For example, the Facility Owners and/or Operators have failed and continue to fail to develop an M&RP that requires the Facility Owners and/or Operators to analyze storm water discharges from the Facility for all required parameters by failing to specify that storm water discharges will be analyzed for, at a minimum, aluminum, lead, zinc, cadmium, chromium, copper, arsenic, COD, and BOD, in violation of Section B(5)(c) of the 1997 Permit and Section XI(B)(6)(c) of the 2015 Permit. Nor have the Facility Owners and/or Operators developed an M&RP that requires analysis for pollutants listed on the 2012 303(d) list that are associated with the industrial activities at the Facility, including copper and lead, in violation of Section XI(B)(6) of the 2015 Permit. In addition, the Facility Owners and/or Operators failed and continue to fail to develop an M&RP that requires that the applicable test methods be used when analyzing storm water samples from the Facility.

The Facility Owners and/or Operators also failed to collect and analyze storm water samples as required by the Storm Water Permit. For example, for the past five (5) years the Facility Owners and/or Operators have not collected storm water samples as was required in violation of Sections B(5), B(7), and B(15) of the 1997 Permit and Section XI of the 2015 Permit. Specifically, pursuant to the applicable group monitoring plan, the Facility Owners and/or Operators were required to collect samples in the 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012, 2013/2014 and 2015/2016 wet seasons.

Further, to the extent the Facility Owners and/or Operators have collected storm water samples from the Facility during the 2015/2016 reporting year, the Facility Owners and/or Operators have failed to report the laboratory results of the analysis of those samples to the SMARTS database, as required by Section XI(B)(11)(a).

The Facility Owners' and/or Operators' failure to conduct sampling and monitoring as required by the Storm Water Permit demonstrates that it has failed to develop, implement, and/or revise an M&RP that complies with the requirements of the Storm Water Permit. Every day that the Facility Owners and/or Operators conduct operations in violation of the specific monitoring requirements of the Storm Water Permit, or with an inadequately developed and/or implemented M&RP, is a separate and distinct violation of the Storm Water Permit and the Clean Water Act. The Facility Owners and/or Operators have been in daily and continuous violation of the Storm Water Permit's M&RP requirements every day since at least June 3, 2011. These violations are ongoing, and Waterkeeper will include additional violations when information becomes available. The Facility Owners and/or Operators are subject to civil penalties for all violations of the Clean Water Act occurring since June 3, 2011.

F. Failure to Comply with the Storm Water Permit's Reporting Requirements

Section B(14) of the 1997 Permit requires a permittee to submit an Annual Report to the Regional Board by July 1 of each year. Section B(14) requires that the Annual Report include a summary of visual observations and sampling results, an evaluation of the visual observation and sampling results, the laboratory reports of sample analysis, the annual comprehensive site compliance evaluation report, an explanation of why a permittee did not implement any activities required, and other information specified in Section B(13). The 2015 Permit includes the same annual reporting requirement. *See* 2015 Permit, Section XVI.

The Facility Owners and/or Operators have failed and continue to fail to submit Annual Reports that comply with these reporting requirements. For example, in each Annual Report since the filing of the 2011/2012 Annual Report, the Facility Owners and/or Operators certified that: (1) a complete Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation was done pursuant to Section A(9) of the Storm Water Permit; (2) the SWPPP's BMPs address existing potential pollutant sources; and (3) the SWPPP complies with the Storm Water Permit, or will otherwise be revised to achieve compliance. However, information available to Waterkeeper indicates that these certifications are erroneous. For example, as discussed above, storm water samples collected from the Facility contain concentrations of pollutants above Benchmark Levels, thus demonstrating that the SWPPP's BMPs do not adequately address existing potential pollutant sources. Further, the Facility's SWPPP does not include many elements required by the Storm Water Permit, and thus it is erroneous to certify that the SWPPP complies with the Storm Water Permit.

The Facility Owners and/or Operators have also submitted incomplete Annual Reports. For instance, in the 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012, and 2014/2015 Annual Reports, the Facility Owners and/or Operators failed to include required explanations for its failures to conduct certain required sampling and/or observations. In the 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 Annual Reports, as the reason no samples were collected the Facility Owners and/or Operators state that the Facility "is a construction based business and during inclement weather our facility is closed." Not only does information available to Waterkeeper demonstrate that the Facility does operate during storm events, the 1997 Permit and the 2015 Permit do not excuse failures to collect required samples on this basis.

In addition, the facility operator must report any noncompliance with the Storm Water Permit at the time that the Annual Report is submitted, including 1) a description of the noncompliance and its cause, 2) the period of noncompliance, 3) if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue, and 4) steps taken or planned to reduce and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. Storm Water Permit, Section C(11)(d). The Owners and/or Operators have not reported non-compliance as required.

Information available to Waterkeeper indicates that the Facility Owners and/or Operators have submitted incomplete and/or incorrect Annual Reports that fail to comply with the Storm Water Permit. As such, the Facility Owners and/or Operators are in daily violation of the Storm Water Permit. Every day the Facility Owners and/or Operators conduct operations at the Facility

without reporting as required by the Storm Water Permit is a separate and distinct violation of the Storm Water Permit and Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a). The Facility Owners and/or Operators have been in daily and continuous violation of the Storm Water Permit's reporting requirements every day since at least June 3, 2011. These violations are ongoing, the 2015 Permit's annual reporting requirements are as stringent as the 1997 Permit requirements, and Waterkeeper will include additional violations when information becomes available, including specifically violations of the 2015 Permit reporting requirements (*see* 2015 Permit, Sections XII. and XVI.). The Facility Owners and/or Operators are subject to civil penalties for all violations of the Clean Water Act occurring since June 3, 2011.

IV. RELIEF SOUGHT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

Pursuant to Section 309(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and the Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation, 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, each separate violation of the Clean Water Act subjects the violator to a penalty for all violations occurring during the period commencing five years prior to the date of the Notice Letter. These provisions of law authorize civil penalties of up to \$37,500.00 per day per violation for all Clean Water Act violations after January 12, 2009.

In addition to civil penalties, Waterkeeper will seek injunctive relief preventing further violations of the Clean Water Act pursuant to Sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), declaratory relief, and such other relief as permitted by law.

Lastly, pursuant to Section 505(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), Waterkeeper will seek to recover its costs, including attorneys' and experts' fees, associated with this enforcement action.

V. CONCLUSION

Waterkeeper is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations described in this Notice Letter. However, upon expiration of the 60-day notice period, Waterkeeper will file a citizen suit under Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act for Robertson's violations of the Storm Water Permit.

If you wish to pursue settlement discussions please contact Waterkeeper's legal counsel:

Caroline Koch
Lawyers for Clean Water, Inc.
1004A O'Reilly Avenue
San Francisco, California 94129

Orange County Coastkeeper
ATTN: Colin A. Kelly
3151 Airway Ave., Suite F-110
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Tel: (714) 850-1965 ext. 307

Sincerely,



Colin Kelly
Senior Staff Attorney
Inland Empire Waterkeeper
Orange County Coastkeeper

SERVICE LIST

VIA U.S. MAIL

Loretta Lynch, Attorney General
U.S. Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Gina McCarthy
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
William Jefferson Clinton Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Jared Blumenfeld
Regional Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

Thomas Howard
Executive Director
State Water Resources Control Board
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, California 95812

Kurt Berchtold
Executive Officer
Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board
3737 Main Street, Suite 500
Riverside, California 92501

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000	1001	1002	1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008	1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020	1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026	1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032	1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038	1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044	1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050	1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056	1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062	1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068	1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074	1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080	1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086	1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092	1093	1094	1095	1096	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101	1102	1103	1104	1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	1110	1111	1112	1113	1114	1115	1116	1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122	1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134	1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140	1141	1142	1143	1144	1145	1146	1147	1148	1149	1150	1151	1152	1153	1154	1155	1156	1157	1158	1159	1160	1161	1162	1163	1164	1165	1166	1167	1168	1169	1170	1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176	1177	1178	1179	1180	1181	1182	1183	1184	1185	1186	1187	1188	1189	1190	1191	1192	1193	1194	1195	1196	1197	1198	1199	1200	1201	1202	1203	1204	1205	1206	1207	1208	1209	1210	1211	1212	1213	1214	1215	1216	1217	1218	1219	1220	1221	1222	1223	1224	1225	1226	1227	1228	1229	1230	1231	1232	1233	1234	1235	1236	1237	1238	1239	1240	1241	1242	1243	1244	1245	1246	1247	1248	1249	1250	1251	1252	1253	1254	1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260	1261	1262	1263	1264	1265	1266	1267	1268	1269	1270	1271	1272	1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278	1279	1280	1281	1282	1283	1284	1285	1286	1287	1288	1289	1290	1291	1292	1293	1294	1295	1296	1297	1298	1299	1300	1301	1302	1303	1304	1305	1306	1307	1308	1309	1310	1311	1312	1313	1314	1315	1316	1317	1318	1319	1320	1321	1322	1323	1324	1325	1326	1327	1328	1329	1330	1331	1332	1333	1334	1335	1336	1337	1338	1339	1340	1341	1342	1343	1344	1345	1346	1347	1348	1349	1350	1351	1352	1353	1354	1355	1356	1357	1358	1359	1360	1361	1362	1363	1364	1365	1366	1367	1368	1369	1370	1371	1372	1373	1374	1375	1376	1377	1378	1379	1380	1381	1382	1383	1384	1385	1386	1387	1388	1389	1390	1391	1392	1393	1394	1395	1396	1397	1398	1399	1400	1401	1402	1403	1404	1405	1406	1407	1408	1409	1410	1411	1412	1413	1414	1415	1416	1417	1418	1419	1420	1421	1422	1423	1424	1425	1426	1427	1428	1429	1430	1431	1432	1433	1434	1435	1436	1437	1438	1439	1440	1441	1442	1443	1444	1445	1446	1447	1448	1449	1450	1451	1452	1453	1454	1455	1456	1457	1458	1459	1460	1461	1462	1463	1464	1465	1466	1467	1468	1469	1470	1471	1472	1473	1474	1475	1476	1477	1478	1479	1480	1481	1482	1483	1484	1485	1486	1487	1488	1489	1490	1491	1492	1493	1494	1495	149
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----

Robertson's Beaumont
Exhibit 1

Riverside Municipal Airport Riverside, CA Rain Station KRAL		
Date	Day of Week	Daily Precipitation (Inches)
6/31/2011	Sunday	.34
10/5/2011	Wednesday	.46
11/4/2011	Friday	.33
11/12/2011	Saturday	.15
12/12/2011	Monday	.43
1/21/2012	Saturday	.20
1/23/2012	Monday	.21
2/15/2012	Wednesday	.36
3/17/2012	Saturday	.52
4/11/2012	Wednesday	.21
4/13/2012	Friday	.18
12/13/2012	Thursday	.49
12/24/2012	Monday	.22
12/29/2012	Saturday	.13
1/24/2013	Thursday	.19
1/25/2013	Friday	.37
1/26/2013	Saturday	.19
2/8/2013	Thursday	.49
3/8/2013	Friday	.46
Total Rain Days		19

Robertson's Beaumont
Exhibit 1

March Air Reserve Base Riverside, CA Rain Station KRIV		
Date	Day of Week	Daily Precipitation (Inches)
7/30/2013	Friday	.38
10/9/2013	Wednesday	.42
11/21/2013	Thursday	.20
11/22/2013	Friday	.15
12/7/2013	Saturday	.18
12/19/2013	Thursday	.18
2/28/2014	Friday	1.08
3/1/2014	Saturday	.43
3/2/2014	Sunday	.25
4/2/2014	Wednesday	.13
4/25/2014	Friday	.16
4/26/2014	Saturday	.18
8/3/2014	Sunday	.20
8/20/2014	Wednesday	.27
11/1/2014	Saturday	.17
12/2/2014	Tuesday	.77
12/3/2014	Wednesday	.51
12/4/2014	Thursday	.28
12/12/2014	Friday	.73
12/13/2014	Saturday	.20
12/17/2014	Wednesday	.13
1/11/2015	Sunday	.12
1/26/2015	Monday	.29
1/30/2015	Friday	.11
2/22/2015	Sunday	.12

Robertson's Beaumont
Exhibit 1

2/23/2015	Monday	.19
3/1/2015	Sunday	.12
5/8/2015	Friday	.28
5/14/2015	Thursday	.15
5/15/2015	Friday	.12
7/18/2015	Saturday	.40
7/19/2015	Sunday	.97
9/15/2015	Tuesday	.43
10/5/2015	Monday	.27
10/14/2015	Wednesday	.12
10/15/2015	Thursday	.21
10/22/2015	Tuesday	.14
1/5/2016	Tuesday	.78
1/6/2016	Wednesday	.68
1/7/2016	Thursday	.64
1/31/2016	Sunday	.12
1/17/2016	Wednesday	.10
3/7/2016	Monday	.14
3/11/2016	Friday	.27
4/8/2016	Friday	.22
4/10/2016	Sunday	.49
4/25/2016	Monday	.19
5/6/2016	Friday	.27
	Total Rain Days	48